### 42.709-1

#### 42.709-1 General.

- (a) The following penalties apply to contracts covered by this section:
- (1) If the indirect cost is expressly unallowable under a cost principle in the FAR, or an executive agency supplement to the FAR, that defines the allowability of specific selected costs, the penalty is equal to—
- (i) The amount of the disallowed costs allocated to contracts that are subject to this section for which an indirect cost proposal has been submitted; plus
- (ii) Interest on the paid portion, if any, of the disallowance.
- (2) If the indirect cost was determined to be unallowable for that contractor before proposal submission, the penalty is two times the amount in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.
- (b) These penalties are in addition to other administrative, civil, and criminal penalties provided by law.
- (c) It is not necessary for unallowable costs to have been paid to the contractor in order to assess a penalty.

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

## 42.709-2 Responsibilities.

- (a) The cognizant contracting officer is responsible for—
- (1) Determining whether the penalties in 42.709–1(a) should be assessed;
- (2) Determining whether such penalties should be waived pursuant to 42.709–5; and
- (3) Referring the matter to the appropriate criminal investigative organization for review and for appropriate coordination of remedies, if there is evidence that the contractor knowingly submitted unallowable costs.
- (b) The contract auditor, in the review and/or the determination of final indirect cost proposals for contracts subject to this section, is responsible for—
- (1) Recommending to the contracting officer which costs may be unallowable and subject to the penalties in 42.709–1(a):
- (2) Providing rationale and supporting documentation for any recommendation; and
- (3) Referring the matter to the appropriate criminal investigative organization for review and for appropriate co-

ordination of remedies, if there is evidence that the contractor knowingly submitted unallowable costs.

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

## 42.709-3 Assessing the penalty.

Unless a waiver is granted pursuant to 42.709-5, the cognizant contracting officer shall—

- (a) Assess the penalty in 42.709–1(a)(1), when the submitted cost is expressly unallowable under a cost principle in the FAR or an executive agency supplement that defines the allowability of specific selected costs; or
- (b) Assess the penalty in 42.709–1(a)(2), when the submitted cost was determined to be unallowable for that contractor prior to submission of the proposal. Prior determinations of unallowability may be evidenced by—
- (1) A DCAA Form 1, Notice of Contract Costs Suspended and/or Disapproved (see 48 CFR 242.705-2), or any similar notice which the contractor elected not to appeal and was not withdrawn by the cognizant Government agency;
- (2) A contracting officer final decision which was not appealed;
- (3) A prior executive agency Board of Contract Appeals or court decision involving the contractor, which upheld the cost disallowance; or
- (4) A determination or agreement of unallowability under 31.201-6.
- (c) Issue a final decision (see 33.211) which includes a demand for payment of any penalty assessed under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section. The letter shall state that the determination is a final decision under the Disputes clause of the contract. (Demanding payment of the penalty is separate from demanding repayment of any paid portion of the disallowed cost.)

[60 FR 42658, Aug. 16, 1995]

# 42.709-4 Computing interest.

For 42.709–1(a)(1)(ii), compute interest on any paid portion of the disallowed cost as follows:

(a) Consider the overpayment to have occurred, and interest to have begun accumulating, from the midpoint of the contractor's fiscal year. Use an alternate equitable method if the cost